

AGENDA



For a meeting of the
ENGAGEMENT POLICY DEVELOPMENT GROUP
to be held on
TUESDAY, 11 MAY 2010
at
10.00 AM
in
THE GLEN ROOM, COUNCIL OFFICES, ST PETER'S HILL, GRANTHAM NG31 6PZ
Beverly Agass, Chief Executive

Group Members:	Councillor Michael Cook, Councillor Mike Exton (Chairman), Councillor Jock Kerr, Councillor Stuart McBride, Councillor Bob Russell, Councillor Ian Selby and Councillor Tom Webster (Vice-Chairman)
Portfolio Holders:	Councillor Ray Auger – Access and Engagement
Support Officer:	Lucy Bonshor 01476 406120 l.bonshor@southkesteven.gov.uk

PLEASE NOTE DATE AND TIME OF MEETING

Members of the Panel are invited to attend the above meeting to consider the items of business listed below.

- 1. COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**
- 2. MEMBERSHIP**
The Group to be notified of any substitute members.
- 3. APOLOGIES**
- 4. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**
Members are asked to declare an interest in matters for consideration at the meeting.

- 5. ACTION NOTES FROM THE MEETING HELD ON 25TH MARCH 2010**
(Enclosure)
- 6. UPDATES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING**
- 7. WELCOME TO GRANTHAM - A SHORT FILM PRODUCED BY PUPILS AT ST HUGH'S SCHOOL**
A 10 minute film produced by pupils at St Hughs for SKDC Community Cohesion Project
- 8. COUNCIL WEBSITE - PRESENTATION**
Members to be updated on usage, user feedback and new functionality of the website
- 9. PETITIONS SCHEME**
A copy of the model scheme and Department of Communities and Local Government guidance are attached together with report LEG066, from the Monitoring Officer.
(Enclosure)
- 10. ANY OTHER BUSINESS, WHICH THE CHAIRMAN, BY REASONS OF SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, DECIDES IS URGENT**

WORKING STYLE OF POLICY DEVELOPMENT GROUPS

The Role Of Policy Development

- To reflect the voice and concerns of the public and its communities
- Members should take the lead and own the policy development process on behalf of the public
- Policy development should make an impact on the delivery of public services

Remember...

- Scrutiny and policy development should be member led
- Any conclusions must be backed up by evidence
- Meetings should adopt an inquisitorial rather than adversarial style of traditional local government committees

MEETING OF THE ENGAGEMENT POLICY DEVELOPMENT GROUP

THURSDAY, 25 MARCH 2010 2.00 PM



GROUP MEMBERS PRESENT

Councillor Michael Cook
Councillor Mike Exton (Chairman)
Councillor Reginald Howard
Councillor Jock Kerr

Councillor Bob Russell
Councillor Ian Selby
Councillor Tom Webster (Vice-Chairman)

PORTFOLIO HOLDER

Councillor Mrs Frances Cartwright

GUESTS

David Millar
John Dickinson
Krystyna Waszkiewicz

OFFICERS

Corporate Head Sustainable Communities (Teena Twelves)
Corporate Head Partnerships and Improvements (Robert Moreland)
Service Manager Human Resources & Organisational Development (Joyce Slater)
Corporate Equalities Project Officer (Elaine Claridge)
Democratic Officer (Lucy Bonshor)

40. COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

None received.

41. MEMBERSHIP

Councillor Howard was substituting for Councillor McBride for this meeting only.

42. APOLOGIES

An apology for lateness was given by Councillor Ian Selby.

43. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations of interest were made.

44. ACTION NOTES FROM THE MEETING HELD ON 14TH JANUARY 2010

The notes from the meeting held on 14th January 2010 were agreed.

A question was asked about item 38 which referred to the consultation document on petitions as set out in the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act. The Democratic Officer replied that the PDG's response to the consultation had been sent off within the deadline; as yet there had been no response to the consultation on the website.

45. UPDATES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

The Corporate Head of Partnerships and Improvements (CHPI), Robert Moreland confirmed that objections to 43 kiosks being removed had been sent to BT, two Parishes at Harlaxton and Skillington wished to go ahead with adoption. The box at Dowsby had been removed following a robbery and the Boothby Pagnell kiosk should not have been on the list as it had been removed previously.

The wireless connection was now available in the Council Chamber if Members wished to use their laptops and a guidance document was being produced. The programme would be extended to include the committee rooms and the Members Lounge in due course.

46. ANNUAL REVIEW OF THE CORPORATE EQUALITIES SCHEME

The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting including the following guests:

David Millar - Kaleidoscope (LGBT support group)
John Dickinson - Grantham Mind
Krystyna Waszkiewicz - Grantham Polish Club

The Corporate Head Sustainable Communities (CHSC), Mrs Teena Twelve referred to the report and document which had been circulated with the agenda. The document was very much a work in progress with input and views of the PDG required it was also an opportunity for representatives of other groups to help devise and shape policies of the council.

The term equalities and diversity had a misconception about it and therefore within the organisation a re brand was being introduced to look at the issue as more of a community focus with the agenda being about people rather than a tick box exercise, something that added value and influenced the way we work by thinking differently about things but still meeting our legal requirements to report annually on equalities and diversity. The Single Equalities Bill was

currently on its way through Parliament and as it had cross party support a change of Government should not stop the bill being passed.

The scheme set out how the Council meets its statutory duties to promote race, disability and gender equality under the Race Relations (Amendment Act (2000), the Disability Discrimination Act (2005) and Equality Act (2006). The Council needed to ensure that the services that they provided reflected the needs of the local community. She then gave an example of where money which had been used for translation leaflets (which had just sat on a shelf) had been re directed to a front line service which had enabled mobile hearing loops to be purchased that had helped Officers speak to older householders who had hearing impairments without having to shout. This was a simple switch of how a resource was used which benefited people directly and improved customer satisfaction which was beneficial to the customer as well as the authority. Further examples of achievements like this had been made and were listed in the report and she asked that if anyone had any further helpful suggestions to let her know and this invitation was extended to those guests present.

A question was then asked about the corporate equalities group and implementation team who sat on these groups where they all internal or did they have external representatives. The CHSC introduced Elaine Claridge who was for part of the week the Corporate Equalities Project Officer. She spoke about the work being undertaken within the council to promote awareness of equality issues and membership of the various groups.

A Member asked about the Tenants Equalities Groups and whether any guidance and controls were in place. The CHSC replied that these were in place and group had input in to the impact equality assessments which were extremely useful. The CHSC recognised that the equalities agenda was a very wide ranging and complicated area that required a breadth of understanding that very few people had, therefore it was important to respect the views received and have support and training in place to enable people to carry out the work involved.

Examples of the quick wins that the internal groups had driven forward were:

- The text replay credit card which each member of staff had
- Language line credit cards which again each member of staff had which fitted behind their ID cards giving them information that was readily available.

The CHCS then referred to the mechanisms in place for involving community groups in shaping policies and the issues they face. The guests were then invited to speak.

Krystyna Waszkiewicz representing the Grantham Polish Club said that a lot of hate crime was in evidence where she lived on Thames Road with car vandalism and egg throwing. A lack of street lights near the canal area made

the public fearful to walk this area especially at night and with the anti social problems being encountered in the area. Also language was a problem as 95% of Polish people did not speak English but putting classes on late in the evening was not helpful when people had been working long shifts.

David Millar from Kaleidoscope (LGBT support group) said that as soon as you say who you are representing shutters come down. He welcomed the work that the authority was doing to try and involve as many groups as possible to get their views although there was still a stigma attached for the section of the community that he represented. For people to express their views and to be given the respect they deserved they needed to have additional support.

John Dickinson from Grantham Mind said that a stigma was still attached to mental health problems and not everyone felt comfortable giving their views in to an environment such as the meeting, it would be hard for people to attend without more guidance and support.

It was suggested that the community focus group needed to act as a conduit for conveying feedback to enable all groups to contribute to the corporate equalities scheme. A lot of work was still to be done to break down what people saw as bureaucratic barriers that stopped people talking face to face and involving them within the community.

The CHSC then referred to the Local Government Equality Standard and Equality Framework which the council was assessed at level 2 in 2006. During April 2009 the new Equality Framework was introduced to replace the old standard which measured authorities against three standards:

- Developing
- Achieving
- Excellent

A full understanding of equalities and diversity is essential across both staff and members to progress through the levels. Work to progress this had been undertaken during 2009 focussing on a programme of significant cultural change within the authority. The CHSC referred to the ED the elephant logo that had been adopted within the authority to promote equalities and diversity issues and “its all about you” as everyone was affected by equalities and diversity in some shape or form.

Within the last 18 months a big difference had taken place within the council following the hard work that had been undertaken on the equalities agenda with little changes being implemented that had made a huge difference to how services worked.

The PDG fully supported all the hard work that had been undertaken to progress the equalities and diversity agenda and the Chairman thanked everyone for attending and putting their comments forward.

47. EQUALITIES IN EMPLOYMENT MONITORING REPORT

The Service Manager for Human Resources and Organisational Development (SMHR&OD), Joyce Slater referred to report HR & OD 105 which had been circulated with the agenda. She referred to the legal duty which the Council had to collect the data contained within the report on staff, this issue having been raised in the last item. Rather than do this as a “tick box” exercise it was felt that the work undertaken should be useful to us as a Council to help inform policy and procedures to prevent discrimination in the workplace. This had resulted in a major piece of work being undertaken which had to be correct and accurate as well as adhering to the Council’s legal duty. Currently there were differing pieces of legislation relating to the different equality strands, it was hoped that in autumn 2010 the Equality Bill would be enacted to consolidate all the strands under the same piece of legislation and would include the following six strands:

- Race/ethnic origin
- Gender
- Disability
- Age
- Sexual orientation
- Religion and belief

The SMHR&OD then put the results in context and why the validation showed only 96% rather than 100% (this was due to staff leavers from the cut off time of validation and also long term sick). Also how questions were phrased, by including the response “not stated” or “prefer not to say” it was found that people were more willing to answer the question which meant more meaningful data was collected.

She then referred to section 3.3 of the report and appendix 1 which was the requirement under the Race Relations (Amendment Act) 2000 and the statutory code of practice to monitor and publish, by reference to racial groups. The difficulty was in getting the categorisations correct and from the data received a number of applicants were not confident in putting down their ethnicity at the point of application approximately 60%. This was significant and further work on the why and trends will be looked at going forward.

Each category within appendix 2 was discussed with the following highlighted:

- Difficulty in defining local community profile
- Number of GP’s registrations show a significant increase in numbers which will change the local community
- Gender – local authorities bias to female employees
- Disability – the Council has a good track record in supporting people with a disability with 8.3% of employees declaring themselves to have a disability within the meaning of the DDA the council is one of the top

- performing councils in relation to the employment of disabled people.
- Age – the council’s age profile shows a lack of young employees (16-20) and the Council is looking at a collaborative project in Lincolnshire dealing with apprenticeships.
- Sexual orientation - it was the first time this question had been included in the validation exercise and although the response had been better than expected many staff chose “prefer not to say”.
- Religion and belief - the muslim community was not represented in the staff responses yet there was a muslim community locally and HR would be looking at how we present ourselves locally. A better position would be known after the next report when comparisons could be made.

A general discussion then followed with points raised about people’s reluctance to put down what religion they were, what were the reasons behind this, the turnover of asian employees, religion and belief; why Catholicism was not a category, why the need for people to put down what sexual orientation they were when more often than not the information was not disclosed, therefore accurate data could not be compiled. The SMHR&OD replied that all this information was relevant to build up a picture of the community as a whole and the council needed to be focussed and proactive in putting together policies and procedures so that no one was discriminated against for any reason. All the categories used were standardized and nationally valid.

A further short discussion then followed about disability and how people were now more willing to say they had a disability - this had not been the case a few years ago even with those disabilities that were invisible such as dyslexia. Members noted the report and recommended adoption by the Cabinet.

The Chairman thanked Mrs Slater for her report.

Recommendation:

That the Engagement PDG note the report and recommends that Cabinet adopt the report for publication.

48. DATE OF NEXT ENGAGEMENT PDG

The following item was dealt with as a matter of urgency as this was the last scheduled Engagement PDG before the next Annual Council meeting.

The Democratic Officer referred to the possible date of the Parliamentary Election (6th May) and the draft timetable of meetings which Members had been circulated with in January. The Engagement PDG had been scheduled for Tuesday 4th May and the Democratic Officer asked if Members were agreeable to the date being changed to Tuesday 11th May as she would be

involved with postal vote opening in the week running up to the election. Members agreed with the proposed date change and suggested that the meeting start at 10.00am.

Recommendation

That the Engagement PDG meeting scheduled for Tuesday 4th May on the draft timetable of meetings be changed to Tuesday 11th May with a start time of 10.00am.

Agenda Item 9

REPORT TO ENGAGEMENT POLICY DEVELOPMENT GROUP

REPORT OF: Legal Services Manager (Monitoring Officer)

REPORT NO: LEG066

DATE: 11th May 2010

TITLE:	PETITIONS SCHEME	
KEY DECISION OR POLICY FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL:	N/A	
PORTFOLIO HOLDER: NAME AND DESIGNATION:	Councillor Ray Auger – Access and Engagement	
CONTACT OFFICER:	Lucy Youles - Legal Services Manager (Monitoring Officer) Tel:01476 406105. e-mail:l.youles@southkesteven.gov.uk	
INITIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT:	Carried out and appended to the report:N/A	Full impact assessment Required:N/A
Equality and Diversity		
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT:	This report is publicly available via the Local Democracy link on the Council's website: www.southkesteven.gov.uk	
BACKGROUND PAPERS	Report to Council DEM - 22.4.10 Model Petition Scheme The Department of Communities and Local Government Statutory Guidance on Petition Schemes	

1. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the PDG consider the terms of the petitions scheme as adopted by Council at its meeting on the 22nd April 2010, establish a task group to consider issues relating to the petition scheme to report back findings to the PDG to make recommendations to the Portfolio Holder for Access and Engagement in respect of the following:-

- Designation of a Petitions Officer, to be responsible for recording all petitions received, responding to petition organisers, ensuring that petitions are reported to Council, Scrutiny Committee or to Cabinet Members as required, updating a Petitions Site on the authority's website once established, inviting petition organisers to attend meetings. It is recommended that this work is best handled by the Democratic Services Team, and that the Head of Legal and Democratic Services Officer be designated as Petitions Officer for this purpose;
- Council has determined the number of signatures required for petitions as 2,500 for a petition to hold an officer to account to be referred to the Scrutiny Committee for consideration and 5000 for a petition for debate to be referred to Council for consideration. These figures can be revised at any time if they are found to act as a deterrent to petitions.

- The authority needs to decide who will be provided with copies of petitions. It is suggested that Group Leaders and ward Councillor be provided with a copy of each petition within 5 working days of receipt, at the same time as it is put on the Petitions Site on the Council website.
- The authority will in practice need to set a maximum time to be allowed for discussion to discharge its ordinary business effectively. Accordingly, it is suggested that a maximum of 30 minutes be allowed at any meeting, but that this can be extended by the Chairperson when appropriate.
- Any other issues relating to petition schemes which the task group consider appropriate to refer to the Portfolio Holder for decision.

2. PURPOSE OF THE REPORT/DECISION REQUIRED

The purpose of the report is:

- to inform the PDG with as much information about petition schemes as is available to enable it to consider the issues relating to petition schemes.
- To make suggestions as to the issues for consideration relating to the petitions scheme to enable the PDG to make recommendations to the Portfolio Holder for Access and Engagement for decision.

3. CONTRIBUTION TO PRIORITY THEME

The consideration of issues relating to the petition scheme will contribute to the “quality organisation” priority by ensuring relevant issues are considered.

4. DETAILS OF THE REPORT

4.1 At its meeting on the 22nd April 2010, Council approved the model scheme for petitions (attached to this report as **APPENDIX 1**) as the approved petition scheme in readiness for the deadline for introduction of petition schemes on the 15th June 2010. This is a requirement of statutory regulations introduced under sections 10-22 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009. As a result of the timing of the introduction of the regulations, a petitions scheme had to be approved by Council at its meeting on the 22nd April 2010 to meet the statutory deadline. To enable appropriate consideration to be given to the scheme, it was referred to the PDG for consideration.

4.2 The Department of Communities and Local Government Guidance is attached at **APPENDIX 2**. Members are asked to take into account this guidance when considering the issues arising.

5. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Members should consider any issues relating to petition schemes which they consider relevant for recommendation to the Portfolio Holder.

6. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING FINANCIAL, PEOPLE)

Any petition scheme, its management and administration must be met within current budgets.

7. RISK AND MITIGATION (INCLUDING HEALTH AND SAFETY AND DATA QUALITY)

None applicable

8. ISSUES ARISING FROM EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

None applicable

9. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

The scheme will enable any concerns by local residents on crime and disorder matters to be referred to the Council.

10. COMMENTS OF SECTION 151 OFFICER

Any comments that the Section 151 Officer has will be reported at the meeting.

11. COMMENTS OF MONITORING OFFICER

The comments of the Monitoring Officer are included in this report and the Monitoring Officer will attend the meeting of the PDG

12. COMMENTS OF OTHER RELEVANT SERVICE MANAGERS

None relevant

13. APPENDICES:

Appendix 1 – The model scheme

Appendix 2 – The Department of Communities and Local Government Guidance

Annex A

Model scheme

In order to demonstrate how the framework set out in the 2009 Act can translate in practice we have created a model scheme which local authorities can choose to adopt or adapt. The example below is based on an authority with a population of 150,000 and is the public facing information about their petition scheme published on their website. The model authority has chosen to respond to all the petitions it receives. Petitions which contain 1500 signatures will be debated by the full council and petitions which call for evidence from a senior officer, and have 750 signatures, will trigger that response. The model authority operates executive arrangements and has responsibility for education and housing functions – authorities which do not have responsibility for such functions may wish to modify some of the illustrative examples given. The model authority holds a full council meeting on a 6 weekly basis. [‘link’ indicates where the council’s webpage includes a link to related information]

Petitions

The council welcomes petitions and recognises that petitions are one way in which people can let us know their concerns. All petitions sent or presented to the council will receive an acknowledgement from the council within 10 working days of receipt. This acknowledgement will set out what we plan to do with the petition. We will treat something as a petition if it is identified as being a petition, or if it seems to us that it is intended to be a petition.

Paper petitions can be sent to:

[insert address]

Or be created, signed and submitted online by following this link [link]

Petitions can also be presented to a meeting of the council. These meetings take place on a 6 weekly basis, dates and times can be found here [link]. If you would like to present your petition to the council, or would like your councillor or someone else to present it on your behalf, please contact [insert name] on [insert phone number] at least 10 working days before the meeting and they will talk you through the process. If your petition has received 1500 signatures or more it will also be scheduled for a council debate [link to section on Full Council Debates] and if this is the case we will let you know whether this will happen at the same meeting or a later meeting of the council.

What are the guidelines for submitting a petition?

Petitions submitted to the council must include:

- a clear and concise statement covering the subject of the petition. It should state what action the petitioners wish the council to take
- the name and address and signature of any person supporting the petition.

Petitions should be accompanied by contact details, including an address, for the petition organiser. This is the person we will contact to explain how we will respond to the petition. The contact details of the petition organiser will not be placed on the website. If the petition does not identify a petition organiser, we will contact signatories to the petition to agree who should act as the petition organiser.

Petitions which are considered to be vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate will not be accepted. In the period immediately before an election or referendum we may need to deal with your petition differently – if this is the case we will explain the reasons and discuss the revised timescale which will apply. If a petition does not follow the guidelines set out above, the council may decide not to do anything further with it. In that case, we will write to you to explain the reasons.

What will the council do when it receives my petition?

An acknowledgement will be sent to the petition organiser within 10 working days of receiving the petition. It will let them know what we plan to do with the petition and when they can expect to hear from us again. It will also be published on our website.

If we can do what your petition asks for, the acknowledgement may confirm that we have taken the action requested and the petition will be closed. If the petition has enough signatures to trigger a council debate, or a senior officer giving evidence, then the acknowledgment will confirm this and tell you when and where the meeting will take place. If the petition needs more investigation, we will tell you the steps we plan to take.

If the petition applies to a planning or licensing application, is a statutory petition (for example requesting a referendum on having an elected mayor), or on a matter where there is already an existing right of appeal, such as council tax banding and non-domestic rates, other procedures apply. Further information on all these procedures and how you can express your views is available here [insert links]

We will not take action on any petition which we consider to be vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate and will explain the reasons for this in our acknowledgement of the petition.

To ensure that people know what we are doing in response to the petitions we receive the details of all the petitions submitted to us will be published on our website, except in cases where this would be inappropriate. Whenever possible we will also publish all correspondence relating to the petition (all personal details will be removed). When you sign an e-petition you can elect to receive this information by email. We will not send you anything which is not relevant to the e-petition you have signed, unless you choose to receive other emails from us. [[link to account settings](#)]

How will the council respond to petitions?

Our response to a petition will depend on what a petition asks for and how many people have signed it, but may include one or more of the following:

- taking the action requested in the petition
- considering the petition at a council meeting
- holding an inquiry into the matter
- undertaking research into the matter
- holding a public meeting
- holding a consultation
- holding a meeting with petitioners
- referring the petition for consideration by the council's overview and scrutiny committee*
- calling a referendum
- writing to the petition organiser setting out our views about the request in the petition

*Overview and scrutiny committees are committees of councillors who are responsible for scrutinising the work of the council – in other words, the overview and scrutiny committee has the power to hold the council's decision makers to account.

In addition to these steps, the council will consider all the specific actions it can potentially take on the issues highlighted in a petition. The table below gives some examples.

Petition subject	Appropriate steps
Alcohol related crime and disorder	<p>If your petition is about crime or disorder linked to alcohol consumption, the council will, among other measures, consider the case for placing restrictions on public drinking in the area by establishing a designated public place order or, as a last resort, imposing an alcohol disorder zone. When an alcohol disorder zone is established the licensed premises in the area where alcohol related trouble is being caused are required to contribute to the costs of extra policing in that area. The council's response to your petition will set out the steps we intend to take and the reasons for taking this approach.</p>
Anti-social behaviour (ASB)	<p>As the elected representatives of your local area, as social landlord and licensing authority, the council plays a significant role to play in tackling anti-social behaviour. The council, in conjunction with our partners in the local crime and disorder partnership have set out minimum service standards for responding to issues of anti-social behaviour, you can find more details about these standards here [insert link].</p> <p>When responding to petitions on ASB, we will consider in consultation with our local partners, all the options available to us including the wide range of powers and mechanisms we have to intervene as part of our role as social landlord and licensing authority. For example, we will work with the neighbourhood policing team in the affected area to identify what action might be taken including what role CCTV might play, consider identifying a dedicated contact within the council to liaise with the community and neighbourhood partners on issues of ASB in the area in question and, where appropriate, we will alert the crime and disorder reduction partnership and crime and disorder overview and scrutiny committee to the issues highlighted in the petition.</p>

Under-performing schools	We will consider, in consultation with local partners, all the options available to us when working with schools to secure their improvement. For example, on our behalf, the school improvement partner will play a pivotal role, challenging and brokering support for poorly performing schools. Where a school is under performing we will consider whether it is appropriate in the circumstances to issue a warning notice outlining expectations and a timeframe for the school to improve its performance standards. Other measures available to us, where schools fail to comply with a warning notice or are in an Ofsted category of notice to improve (requiring significant improvement) or special measures including; appointing additional governors, establishing an interim executive board, removal of the school's delegated budgets, requiring the school to enter into a formal contract or partnership or, only if the school is in special measures, closure.
Under-performing health services	We will work with local health partners to consider the matter raised in the petition including, where appropriate, exploring what role the Local Involvement Network (LINK) might have in reviewing and feeding back on the issue (the LINK is run by local individuals and community groups and independently supported – their role to find out what people want in terms of local health services, monitor those services and to use their powers to hold them to account). The health overview and scrutiny committee will also be alerted to the petition and where the matter is sufficiently or potentially serious, the issue will be referred to them to consider for review.

If your petition is about something over which the council has no direct control (for example the local railway or hospital) we will consider making representations on behalf of the community to the relevant body. The council works with a large number of local partners [\[link to list of LAA partners\]](#) and where possible will work with these partners to respond to your petition. If we are not able to do this for any reason (for example if what the petition calls for conflicts with council policy), then we will set out the reasons for this to you. You can find more information on the services for which the council is responsible [here \[link\]](#).

If your petition is about something that a different council is responsible for we will give consideration to what the best method is for responding to it. This might consist of simply forwarding the petition to the other council, but could involve other steps. In any event we will always notify you of the action we have taken.

Full council debates

If a petition contains more than 1,500 signatures it will be debated by the full council unless it is a petition asking for a senior council officer to give evidence at a public meeting. This means that the issue raised in the petition will be discussed at a meeting which all councillors can attend. The council will endeavour to consider the petition at its next meeting, although on some occasions this may not be possible and consideration will then take place at the following meeting. The petition organiser will be given five minutes to present the petition at the meeting and the petition will then be discussed by councillors for a maximum of 15 minutes. The council will decide how to respond to the petition at this meeting. They may decide to take the action the petition requests, not to take the action requested for reasons put forward in the debate, or to commission further investigation into the matter, for example by a relevant committee. Where the issue is one on which the council executive are required to make the final decision, the council will decide whether to make recommendations to inform that decision. The petition organiser will receive written confirmation of this decision. This confirmation will also be published on our website.

Officer evidence

Your petition may ask for a senior council officer to give evidence at a public meeting about something for which the officer is responsible as part of their job. For example, your petition may ask a senior council officer to explain progress on an issue, or to explain the advice given to elected members to enable them to make a particular decision.

If your petition contains at least 750 signatures, the relevant senior officer will give evidence at a public meeting of the council's overview and scrutiny committee. A list of the senior staff that can be called to give evidence can be found here [\[insert link\]](#). You should be aware that the overview and scrutiny committee may decide that it would be more appropriate for another officer to give evidence instead of any officer named in the petition – for instance if the named officer has changed jobs. The committee may also decide to call the relevant councillor to attend the meeting. Committee members will ask the questions at this meeting, but you will be able to suggest questions to the chair of the committee by contacting [\[insert details\]](#) up to three working days before the meeting.

E-petitions

The council welcomes e-petitions which are created and submitted through our website [\[link\]](#). E-petitions must follow the same guidelines as paper petitions [\[link to guidelines\]](#). The petition organiser will need to provide us with their name, postal address and email address. You will also need to decide how long you would like your petition to be open for signatures. Most petitions run for six months, but you can choose a shorter or longer timeframe, up to a maximum of 12 months.

When you create an e-petition, it may take five working days before it is published online. This is because we have to check that the content of your petition is suitable before it is made available for signature.

If we feel we cannot publish your petition for some reason, we will contact you within this time to explain. You will be able to change and resubmit your petition if you wish. If you do not do this within 10 working days, a summary of the petition and the reason why it has not been accepted will be published under the 'rejected petitions' section of the website.

When an e-petition has closed for signature, it will automatically be submitted to [insert details]. In the same way as a paper petition, you will receive an acknowledgement within 10 working working days. If you would like to present your e-petition to a meeting of the council, please contact [insert details] within 10 working days of receipt of the acknowledgement.

A petition acknowledgement and response will be emailed to everyone who has signed the e-petition and elected to receive this information. The acknowledgment and response will also be published on this website.

How do I 'sign' an e-petition?

You can see all the e-petitions currently available for signature here [insert link].

When you sign an e-petition you will be asked to provide your name, your postcode and a valid email address. When you have submitted this information you will be sent an email to the email address you have provided. This email will include a link which you must click on in order to confirm the email address is valid. Once this step is complete your 'signature' will be added to the petition. People visiting the e-petition will be able to see your name in the list of those who have signed it but your contact details will not be visible.

What can I do if I feel my petition has not been dealt with properly?

If you feel that we have not dealt with your petition properly, the petition organiser has the right to request that the council's overview and scrutiny committee review the steps that the council has taken in response to your petition. It is helpful to everyone, and can improve the prospects for a review if the petition organiser gives a short explanation of the reasons why the council's response is not considered to be adequate.

The committee will endeavour to consider your request at its next meeting, although on some occasions this may not be possible and consideration will take place at the following meeting. Should the committee determine we have not dealt with your petition

adequately, it may use any of its powers to deal with the matter. These powers include instigating an investigation, making recommendations to the council executive and arranging for the matter to be considered at a meeting of the full council.

Once the appeal has been considered the petition organiser will be informed of the results within 5 working days. The results of the review will also be published on our website.



Listening to communities:

Statutory guidance on the duty to respond to petitions



Listening to communities:

Statutory guidance on the duty to respond to petitions

Communities and Local Government
Eland House
Bressenden Place
London
SW1E 5DU
Telephone: 030 3444 0000
Website: www.communities.gov.uk

© Crown Copyright, 2010

Copyright in the typographical arrangement rests with the Crown.

This publication, excluding logos, may be reproduced free of charge in any format or medium for research, private study or for internal circulation within an organisation. This is subject to it being reproduced accurately and not used in a misleading context. The material must be acknowledged as Crown copyright and the title of the publication specified.

Any other use of the contents of this publication would require a copyright licence. Please apply for a Click-Use Licence for core material at www.opsi.gov.uk/click-use/system/online/pLogin.asp, or by writing to the Office of Public Sector Information, Information Policy Team, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 4DU

e-mail: licensing@opsi.gov.uk

If you require this publication in an alternative format please email alternativeformats@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Communities and Local Government Publications
Tel: 0300 123 1124
Fax: 0300 123 1125
Email: product@communities.gsi.gov.uk
Online via the Communities and Local Government website: www.communities.gov.uk

March 2010

ISBN: 978-1-4098-2407-7

Contents

Introduction	5
Chapter 1 The petition scheme	7
Summary of requirements	8
Designing a petition scheme	9
Responding to petitions	10
Verification of signatures and acknowledgement	11
Relevant matters	11
Exclusions	12
Petitions which are vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate	12
Petitions under other enactments	13
Matters excluded by order	13
Appropriate steps	13
E-petitions	15
Chapter 2 Petition debates	17
The debate	17
Setting the threshold for debates	18
Exclusions	19
Chapter 3 Giving evidence	20
Existing guidance	20
Setting an appropriate threshold	20
Officers to which Section 16 applies	21
Appropriate officer	21
Giving 'grounds'	22
Reporting	22
Chapter 4 Petition reviews	23
Triggering a meeting of the full council	24
Publicising the outcome of the review	24
Annex A Model scheme	25

Introduction

Context

1. The Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (referred to in this document as 'the 2009 Act') aims to reinvigorate local democracy – putting local authorities at the forefront of the drive to reconnect people with public and political decision-making. This democratic renewal is about restoring trust and confidence in local government and its institutions and its starting point is the citizen.
2. While the Place Survey shows that 80 per cent¹ of people are happy with their area, satisfaction with the way the council runs things is low at 45 per cent. The perception in communities that people can influence decisions that affect their local area is even lower. The duty to respond to petitions is an important step towards addressing this.
3. Signing a petition is one way for citizens to express their concerns and priorities to their local authority and the Citizenship Survey shows that petitions are the most popular and recognised form of civic action². Some local authorities already have well developed processes for responding to petitions and approach them as an opportunity to listen to the community and demonstrate strong local leadership.
4. However, this is not the case across the board. Communities and Local Government examined all English local authority websites in April 2008, and found that only one in five councils make details about how to submit a petition publicly available. In a climate where only 39 per cent³ of people feel they can influence decisions in their local area and in some areas only 48 per cent⁴ feel that their council keeps them well informed about the services it provides, it is essential that we ensure people can easily find out how to send their views about public services to local decision makers. If not, people will continue to feel that their views are not welcome, and will be discouraged from trying to get involved in local decisions in the future.
5. The petitions provisions in the 2009 Act, are a significant step towards addressing this situation.

¹ Place Survey: England – Headline Results 2008 (Revised), <http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/corporate/statistics/placesurvey2008>

² 60 per cent of those people who engaged in an act of civic participation in 2007-2008 signed a petition, Citizenship Survey, 2007-2008

³ Citizenship Survey April to December 2007

⁴ Place Survey

Guidance

6. The statutory guidance to which local authorities will be required to pay regard can be found on pages 7 – 32. This guidance sets out the key principles and key requirements of the petitions duty and gives guidance to which local authorities⁵ must have regard when designing and complying with their petition scheme. It gives information about the role of overview and scrutiny committees under these requirements. It also contains, at Annex A, a model scheme which local authorities may choose to adopt or to adapt to local circumstances. This guidance does not replicate the provisions set out in the legislation and should be read in conjunction with Sections 10 – 22 of the 2009 Act and the Local Authorities (Petitions)(England) Order 2010 (SI 2010/898).

⁵ The petitions duty applies to principal local authorities, defined for the purpose of Chapter 2 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction 2009 Act as a) a county council in England; b) a district council in England; c) a London borough council; d) the Common Council of the City of London in its capacity as a local authority; e) the Council of the Isles of Scilly; f) a county or county borough council in Wales. However, this guidance applies only to principal local authorities in England.

Chapter 1

The petition scheme

Key principles:

- ensuring that local people know how to express their views
- local authorities will take action to respond to petitions
- local people know that their views have been listened to
- keeping prescribed requirements on councils to a minimum, and
- building on local authority best practice

Key outcome:

Everyone, no matter where they live, will be easily able to find information about how to petition their local authority and they will know what to expect from their local authority in response.

Overview

7. The petitions duty in the 2009 Act means that for the first time councils will be required to respond to petitions and tell local people what action is going to be taken to address their concerns. Petitions can no longer be ignored because they raise a difficult or challenging issue in the local area.
8. Government believes that local authorities should approach their petition scheme from the starting point of responding to all the petitions they receive. Petitions are an important tool for local people to raise concerns with their locally elected representatives and we expect petitions to trigger action where appropriate. This guidance includes examples of the responses local authorities should consider in four key areas, under-performing schools, alcohol related crime and disorder, under-performing health services and anti-social behaviour.
9. The model scheme at Annex A demonstrates these principles by setting out that all petitions, regardless of the number of signatures, will receive a response providing they follow the guidelines set out in the scheme. The model scheme also gives details about the types of action the 'model' local authority will take in response to petitions on key areas of concern.

Summary of requirements

10. The 2009 Act requires all principal local authorities in England to establish a scheme for handling petitions made to the authority.
11. The scheme:
 - must be approved by a meeting of the full council before it comes into force;
 - must be published on the principal local authority's website and by any other method appropriate for bringing it to the attention of those who live, work or study in its area
 - can be revised at any time but the revised scheme must be approved and publicised as detailed above; and
 - the authority must comply with its petition scheme.
12. The 2009 Act requires petition schemes to meet some minimum standards in order to ensure minimum entitlements which all citizens can expect. Beyond this small number of requirements local authorities have a high level of flexibility about how they approach the duty – leaving a lot of scope for local determination.
13. The requirements are:
 - anyone who lives, works or studies in the local authority area, including under 18's, can sign or organise a petition and trigger a response
 - a facility for making electronic petitions is provided by the local authority
 - petitions must be acknowledged within a time period specified by the local authority
 - among the many possible steps that the principal local authority may choose to take in response to a petition, the following steps must be included amongst the options listed in the scheme:
 - taking the action requested in the petition
 - considering the petition at a meeting of the authority
 - holding an inquiry
 - holding a public meeting
 - commissioning research
 - a written response to the petition organiser setting out the authority's views on the request in the petition
 - referring the petition to an overview and scrutiny committee

- petitions with a significant level of support trigger a debate of the full council. Councils will determine this threshold locally but it must be no higher than 5 per cent of the local population (see Chapter 2)
 - petitions with a requisite level of support, set by the local authority, trigger a senior local government officer to give evidence at a meeting of the authority's overview and scrutiny committee (see Chapter 3)
 - petition organisers⁶ can prompt a review of the local authority's response if the response is felt to be inadequate (see Chapter 4)
14. The requirements listed above are the minimum set by the 2009 Act. Local authorities are encouraged to consider designing a scheme which is wider than these requirements, for example, responding to petitions from those who do not live, work or study in the local area or e-petitions which are not made through the authority's own e-petition facility.
 15. Section 18 of the 2009 Act clarifies that local authorities can include other information which they consider to be appropriate in their petition scheme. For example, details about how they handle petitions which do not qualify under the scheme or which apply to the functions of another principal local authority, particularly in areas with two tiers of local government.
 16. Once published the local authority must comply with its petition scheme but can revise it at any time by taking the steps set out in Section 11 of the 2009 Act.

Designing a petition scheme

17. Principal local authorities, when designing their petition scheme, are expected to
 - take into account local circumstances to ensure that the scheme is locally appropriate; for example, *the same thresholds set in a densely populated urban area may not be suitable for a rural authority, the same thresholds may not be appropriate for a ward-specific issue in comparison to one affecting a county.*
 - ensure that the scheme is accessible to all; for example, *the e-petitions facility is compliant with web accessibility standards*
 - ensure that the process is easy for citizens to use; for example, *that the scheme sets thresholds which are achievable for petitions on very local, as well as authority wide, concerns, no previous knowledge of council procedure is needed in order to submit a petition, the scheme is written in Plain English, people know what they have to do in order to receive a response*

⁶ "petition organiser", in relation to a petition made to a principal local authority, means—

(a) the person designated in the petition as the person with whom the authority may deal in relation to the petition, or
 (b) such other person as agrees with the authority to be the person with whom the authority may deal in relation to the petition;

18. Government expects any thresholds which local authorities decide to set to be locally achievable. We expect that where practical local authorities will set low thresholds, such as those used in the model scheme at Annex A. The model scheme takes the approach already used by some local authorities of setting no threshold for triggering a response to a petition. This means the 'model' local authority responds to all the petitions it receives, providing that the petition follows the guidelines set out in the scheme i.e. the petition is not discriminatory or about an excluded matter such as a planning decision.
19. Local authorities should also consider whether variable thresholds would be appropriate to their local circumstances. For example, top tier authorities might consider setting the same threshold as district councils for matters specific to each of their constituent district areas. Government expects local authorities' petition schemes to ensure that petitions on very local issues, such as anti-social behaviour in a particular street, will receive a response from the council. Setting no threshold, or thresholds which vary depending on the issue or geographic location affected, might be one method of achieving this.
20. Thresholds can be reviewed after a period of activity and amended if necessary provided the process set out in paragraph 11 and Section 11 of the 2009 Act is followed. Should it become apparent that authorities are setting requirements which are unachievable, the Secretary of State has the power to direct them, to amend their petition schemes.
21. The model petition scheme at Annex A can be used by local authorities as a starting point and guide as to how a scheme might operate. Principal local authorities may choose to adopt this scheme as a whole or amend it to reflect local circumstances.

Responding to petitions

22. As a minimum, a local authority's petition scheme must apply if a petition meets all of the following criteria:
 - it calls for the authority to take action
 - it is signed by the requisite number of people who live, work or study in the local area
 - it is made under another enactment but does not qualify under that enactment (see paragraph 34)
 - If made electronically, it is made through the authority's e-petition facility
23. The legislation does not define what constitutes a petition – in virtually all cases it will be immediately obvious whether something is or is not a petition. It is however

important that authorities make sensible judgements about whether to deal with an item of correspondence under its petition scheme or under some other procedure, such as its internal complaints procedure. The Government's view is that authorities should treat as petitions for the purposes of their scheme anything which identifies itself as a petition, or which a reasonable person would regard as a petition.

Verification of signatures and acknowledgement

24. Local authorities can choose to verify the signatures given on a petition should they wish. Authorities must take account of the signatures of people who provide valid addresses where they live, work or study within the local authority area; but authorities may take account of signatures of people who do not supply such information, or supply information which shows that they do not live, work or study in the area.
25. In the case of e-petitions the local authority must decide what counts as an authentic signature, for example it might decide that a valid email address is sufficient, a valid postcode or both.
26. All petitions which meet the scheme criteria (see Section 12(1) of the 2009 Act) must be acknowledged within the period specified in the authority's scheme.

Relevant matters

27. Petitions are a valuable opportunity for local authorities to demonstrate strong leadership on issues of concern to the community. As community leaders and place shapers local authorities have a key interest in issues which, although wider than their functions, affect the local area. In view of this, and their role in the local area agreement process, Section 14(2)(b)(ii) of the 2009 Act requires top tier authorities to respond to petitions which relate to an improvement in the economic, social or environmental well-being of the authority's area to which any of its partner authorities could contribute. This means that these local authorities must deal with petitions which relate to the functions of partner authorities as well as petitions which relate to their own functions, including petitions on matters which are sub-regional and cross-authority.
28. In practice, this may mean acting as an advocate for the local community, working with partners to resolve the issue, lobbying a partner organisation on behalf of the community or instigating an overview and scrutiny review of the issue.
29. Should a petition of this sort call for something which goes against council policy a principal local authority may choose to say 'no' to the request. However, to ensure the step taken in response to the petition is substantive a local authority must clearly explain their position in their response.

Exclusions

Petitions which are vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate

30. Local authorities should approach the petitions they receive positively and not assume that the motivation is one which is vexatious, abusive or inappropriate. However, petitions, which are in the opinion of the authority vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate, do not qualify under the Section 14 requirement to take steps in response to the petition. Principal local authorities must acknowledge these petitions, as set out in Section 13 of the 2009 Act, and this acknowledgement should explain why the authority will not be taking action.

31. When considering whether a petition is vexatious a principal local authority should use as a starting point the guidance under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Guidance to the Act states that *“Deciding whether a [Freedom of Information] request is vexatious is a flexible balancing exercise, taking into account all the circumstances of the case. There is no rigid test or definition, and it will often be easy to recognise. The key question is whether the request is likely to cause distress, disruption or irritation, without any proper or justified cause”*⁷. In most circumstances it should be the subject matter of the petition, rather than the personality of the petitioners or the manner in which the issue is supported, that is the deciding factor.

32. It is important that petitions which are abusive or otherwise inappropriate are also identified at this stage. Particular care must be taken when considering petitions which call for an officer to give evidence under Section 16 of the 2009 Act that these petitions relate to the role of the individual in delivering public services and not matters relating to an officer’s personality or private life.

33. The types of petitions which local authorities may consider inappropriate include those relating to matters which are part of ongoing legal proceedings or those which target individual members of a community. However, the decision on what constitutes an inappropriate petition is ultimately for the local authority to decide considering the circumstances of the individual case. In addition, it may be inappropriate for councils to deal with certain petitions during periods when they are subject to restrictions immediately before elections or referendums. A description of what may happen in these circumstances should be set out in the authority’s petition scheme – for example, the organiser might be informed of the date when the petition will be considered, or when material relating to it will be published on the council’s website.

⁷ http://www.ico.gov.uk/upload/documents/library/freedom_of_information/detailed_specialist_guides/awareness_guidance_22_vexatious_and_repeated_requests_final.pdf

Petitions under other enactments

34. Petitions made under other enactments, such as petitions under the Local Government Act 2000 asking for referendum on whether the area should have an elected mayor, should be dealt with according to the procedures set out in those enactments. If such a petition fails to meet the requirements of the enactment in question, for example a petition under the 2000 Act does not achieve the requisite number of signatures, it should be addressed through a local authority's petition scheme in exactly the same manner as any other petition.

Matters excluded by order

35. In order not to duplicate procedures where established processes exist for communities to have their say Government has excluded the following matters from the scope of the petitions duty (see Local Authorities (Petitions)(England) Order 2010):
- any matter relating to a planning decision, including about a development plan document or the community infrastructure levy
 - any matter relating to an alcohol, gambling or sex establishment licensing decision,
 - any matter relating to an individual or entity in respect of which that individual or entity has a right of recourse to a review or right of appeal conferred by or under any enactment
36. However, failure to deliver services in these areas remains within the scope of the duty. For example, a petition on an individual planning application is excluded from the duty but a petition about the local authority's failure to deliver an effective service for planning applications would be within scope.
37. When in receipt of a petition on an excluded matter a principal local authority should acknowledge receipt of the petition and explain why the matter is not covered by the authority's petition scheme. If the petition can be taken into account as part of existing procedures, the authority should explain how this will happen. If the petition cannot be taken into account as part of these procedures a principal local authority should explain the existing arrangements for communities to have their say as part of the process in question.

Appropriate steps

38. Principal local authorities are required to take appropriate steps when responding to the petitions they receive. A local authority's response should be proportionate to the seriousness of the issue and the level of support contained in the petition. The actions referred to above (see paragraph 13 and in Section 14(6) of the 2009 Act need to be among those considered but should not be regarded as the only options. Government encourages authorities to be innovative when considering their response to petitions, **including considering any courses of action open to them that are specific to the subject of the petition.**

39. Examples of appropriate steps local authorities should consider in response to specific subjects are included in the table below.

Petition subject	Appropriate steps
Alcohol related crime and disorder	If a local authority receives a petition about alcohol-related crime or disorder, Government expects them to fully consider with their partners the range of options available to them including considering the case for establishing a designated public place order or, as a last resort, establishing and imposing an alcohol disorder zone covering the relevant area.
Anti-social behaviour (ASB)	As the elected representatives of the local area, and often as social landlord and licensing authority, local councils have a significant role to play in tackling anti-social behaviour. Recently crime and disorder reduction partnerships, of which local authorities are a constituent member, have been challenged to set minimum service standards and publicise these to their local communities by March 2010. The police and many local authorities also have a target to increase public confidence that the police and council are working together to deal with crime and ASB issues that matter most locally. As such, when responding to petitions on ASB, local authorities are expected to consider, in consultation with local partners, all the options available to them including the wide range of powers and mechanisms they have to intervene as part of these roles. They should, for example, work with the neighbourhood policing team in the affected area to identify what action might be taken including what role CCTV might play, consider identifying a dedicated contact within the council to liaise with the community, Registered Social Landlords and other neighbourhood partners on issues of ASB in the area in question and, where appropriate, alert the crime and disorder reduction partnership and crime and disorder overview and scrutiny committee to the issues highlighted in the petition.

Under-performing schools	Local authorities are expected to consider, in consultation with local partners, all the options available to them when working with schools to secure their improvement. For example, on behalf of the local authority, the school improvement partner will play a pivotal role, challenging and brokering support for poorly performing schools. Where a school is under performing the local authority should consider whether it is appropriate in the circumstances to issue a warning notice outlining expectations and a timeframe for the school to improve its performance standards. Other measures available to local authorities, where schools fail to comply with a warning notice or are in an Ofsted category of notice to improve (requiring significant improvement) or special measures include; appointing additional governors, establishing an interim executive board, removal of the school's delegated budgets, requiring the school to enter into a formal contract or partnership or, only if the school is in special measures, closure.
Under-performing health services	Local authorities are expected to work with local health partners to consider the matter raised in the petition including, where appropriate, exploring what role the Local Involvement Network (LINK) might have in reviewing and feeding back on the issue. The health overview and scrutiny committee should also be alerted to the petition and where the matter is sufficiently or potentially serious, the issue should be referred to them to consider for review.

40. The local authority must notify the petition organiser of the steps it intends to take and publish this notification on the authority's website.

E-petitions

41. The 2009 Act applies the same requirements to electronic petitions as to paper petitions, except for the following:
- principal local authorities are only required to respond to e-petitions made through their e-petition facility
 - principal local authorities must decide, when a request to host an e-petition is received, whether the petition is appropriate for publishing on their facility
 - principal local authorities will decide what equates to a signature on an e-petition (see paragraph 25 above and also Section 12(3)(b) of the 2009 Act)
 - Principal local authorities are required to provide a facility for people to submit petitions to the authority electronically. In addition to this, local authorities can choose to respond to e-petitions submitted by other means and should indicate in their petition scheme how they will deal with these types of petitions.

42. A local authority's petition scheme must secure that the authority's e-petition facility allows citizens to create a petition which can be published online and made available to others for electronic signature. Government does not consider that mere acceptance of emailed petitions meets this requirement.
43. Local authorities should consider how best to integrate their e-petition process with relevant online information and existing online functions, for example, linking petitions to council meetings or decisions, to the minutes or webcast of the relevant meeting, to online forums and most importantly, to the authority's published response to the petition.
44. When taking the decision whether to host an e-petition principal local authorities should, in addition to following the guidance above on vexatious, abusive and otherwise inappropriate petitions, consider those issues pertinent when publishing any information of their website. For example, issues such as data protection, libel and the statutory requirement, as a public body, to comply with equalities and anti-discrimination legislation⁸.
45. Under Section 10 (2) of the 2009 Act principal local authorities are required to give reasons should they decide not to host an e-petition. This will allow petitioners an opportunity to amend and resubmit their petition.
46. Further sector led best practice guidance on e-petitions will be available, including practical advice for selecting and setting up an e-petitions facility and a set of recommended data standards.

⁸ Section 33 of the Equality Act 2006 sets out a list of "equality enactments" covering equalities and anti-discrimination legislation

Chapter 2

Petition debates

Key principle:

Local people know that their views have been listened to and they have the opportunity to hear their local representatives debate their concerns.

Key outcome:

Local people will know that if they can get the number of signatures specified in their council's petitions scheme, they will be guaranteed a public, full council debate on their concerns.

47. A systematic review of evidence on empowerment found that when petitions are linked with decision making there are increased levels of empowerment⁹. Section 15 of the 2009 Act therefore requires that petitions which receive a significant level of support should be debated at a meeting of the full council. Principal local authorities are required to set out in their petition scheme the number of signatures needed to trigger a debate as part of the authority's response. This debate may be added to the agenda of a normal meeting of the full council.
48. Where a petition receives the required level of support to trigger a council debate the council should also consider what other steps they should take in order to ensure their response is adequate (see Chapter 4 – Petition Reviews). A debate alone may not be considered a sufficient response to a petition with this level of support.

The debate

49. The principle behind a petition debate is the increased transparency of the local decision making process. Therefore the petition organiser should be informed in writing about when the debate will be held and with sufficient notice to enable their attendance. This notification should also be published on the authority's website. The Government expects local authorities to endeavour to consider such petitions at the next meeting of the full council but recognises that a balance will need to be struck between the debate of petitions and other council business. In exceptional cases, for example where there are a number of petitions already scheduled for debate, it may not be possible to debate every eligible petition at the next full council

⁹ *Empowering communities to influence local decision making – A systematic review of the evidence*, Communities and Local Government 2009, <http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/localgovernment/localdecisionreview>

meeting. On these occasions the Government expects local authorities to ensure the remaining petition debate(s) takes place at the following meeting of the full council.

50. Petitioners should be offered the option of presenting their petition to the council at the beginning of the debate. Principal local authorities should also consider what other contribution the petitioners might make to the discussion, for example, answering questions put by councillors.
51. The debate should conclude with a decision being taken by the full council. This could be a decision to take the action the petition requests, not to take the action requested for reasons put forward in the debate, or to commission further investigation into the matter, for example by a relevant committee. Where the issue is one on which the council executive are required to make the final decision, the full council should decide whether to make recommendations to inform that decision. In line with the principle that local authorities should listen to the people they represent, and give them feedback, the petition organiser should then receive written notification of this decision. The notification should also be published on the local authority's website.

Setting the threshold for debates

52. Local authorities are required to set a threshold for triggering a full council debate and to include this information in their petition scheme. The Government recommends where practical, that local authorities set low thresholds, such as those used in the model scheme at Annex A, at the outset. These thresholds can be reviewed after a period of activity and amended if necessary provided the process set out in paragraph 11 and Section 11 of the 2009 Act is followed. If, for example, no debates were triggered in the space of a year, a local authority should review their threshold and consider lowering it in order to ensure that it is locally achievable.
53. Should it become apparent that authorities are setting requirements which are unachievable, the Secretary of State has the power to direct authorities to amend their petition schemes.
54. The Local Authorities (Petitions)(England) Order 2010 stipulates that the maximum threshold which can be set is 5 per cent¹⁰ of the local population. We expect that in most cases a much lower figure will be considered locally appropriate. The maximum figure should be calculated using the estimate of the population of the area of the authority contained in the Registrar General's population estimates for England and Wales published by the Office of National Statistics. The threshold should be expressed in the scheme as a simple figure so that people know the number of signatures they need to trigger a debate (see model scheme at Annex A for an example).

¹⁰ The 5 per cent maximum threshold is a proxy figure, easy for local authorities to calculate, but not directly related to the number of people eligible to sign a petition.

Exclusions

55. An authority is not required to hold a debate in response to any petition which falls outside the scheme, for example because it is vexatious, or relates to a licensing or planning decision. Petitions calling for evidence from an officer are also excluded from the requirement to hold a debate.

Chapter 3

Giving evidence

Key principle:

Local people know that their views have been listened to.

Key outcome:

Local people have the right to petition for a senior member of council staff to attend a public meeting of the council’s overview and scrutiny committee. If enough people sign the petition, a senior officer will have to attend the meeting, answer the committee’s questions and explain how they are delivering public services.

56. Principal local authorities’ petition schemes must allow for petitions to trigger a senior member of council staff to attend a meeting of the authority’s overview and scrutiny committee and answer questions about their work. This builds on existing powers of overview and scrutiny committees who can already require members and officers to attend a meeting of the committee and give evidence. It is based on the principle that local government should be as transparent as possible and that officers are accountable to elected members. It allows members of the local community to make use of petitions to influence the way that this scrutiny takes place.

Existing guidance

57. Guidance¹¹ under the Local Government Act 2000 is already in place to cover the way in which overview and scrutiny committees should conduct themselves when questioning an officer of the local authority – including, for example, considering the appropriate seniority of witnesses to ensure that junior officers are not put under undue pressure, and restricting questions to matters of fact and explanation.

Setting an appropriate threshold

58. Local authorities must consider the detail of how these provisions should work in the broader context of their petition scheme. An authority’s petition scheme must specify how many signatures will be needed to require an officer to attend a public hearing.

¹¹ *New council constitutions: guidance to English Authorities*
<http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/localgovernment/pdf/155181.pdf>

59. Local authorities should consider a suitable threshold according to local circumstances. While local authorities will need to ensure that the level of support is appropriate for the steps triggered, thresholds should be achievable and expressed as a simple figure so that people know the number of signatures needed to trigger this type of response.
60. Again, we recommend where practical, that local authorities set low thresholds, such as those used in the model scheme at Annex A, at the outset. These thresholds can be reviewed after a period of activity and amended if necessary provided the process set out in paragraph 11 and Section 11 of the 2009 Act is followed. If, for example, no such evidence sessions are triggered by petition in the space of a year the local authority should consider the reasons for this including, for example, the level of public awareness of the scheme and whether the threshold set may be too high.
61. Should it become apparent that authorities are setting requirements which are unachievable, the Secretary of State has the power to direct authorities to amend their petition schemes.

Officers to which section 16 applies

62. Principal local authorities must determine which of their officers are able to be called to account in this way and include these details in their petition scheme. This information should include the names and job titles of the officers in question. In order for petitions to have a meaningful impact petitioners must be able to call on the most senior officers to attend meetings and give evidence. Section 16(5) of the 2009 Act therefore requires that, as a minimum, petition schemes provide that the head of paid service – often known as the chief executive of the authority – and the most senior officers responsible for the delivery of services can be required to provide information on their activities at public meetings of overview and scrutiny committees. Petition schemes should apply to senior officers responsible for delivering council functions and public services and not junior members of staff.

Appropriate officer

63. The final decisions on which officer should attend, and the questions to be asked of him or her, rest with the overview and scrutiny committee. Under Section 16(10) of the 2009 Act overview and scrutiny committees can decide that for the purposes of addressing the concerns raised in a petition it is more appropriate for another officer to be called to attend instead. The committee may also consider it appropriate to call the relevant elected member with responsibility for the service area in question, in addition to the appropriate senior officer.

Giving 'grounds'

64. It is essential that the scrutiny prompted by petitions is appropriate and fair to the officers involved. They must not be exposed to inappropriate public scrutiny of their private lives, nor to harassment or bullying. To safeguard officers, Section 16 of the 2009 Act stipulates that the 'grounds' given in the petition for attendance at a meeting of the overview and scrutiny committee must relate to their job – it cannot relate to their personal circumstances or character. An officer is not required to attend a meeting of the overview and scrutiny committee to give evidence if the petition calling for the attendance is deemed to be vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate by the local authority.

Reporting

65. A principal local authority should inform the petition organiser when the overview and scrutiny meeting will take place with sufficient notice to enable them to attend. In any case where the subject of the petition is likely to lead to the discussion of confidential information, and therefore a resolution under the provisions in Part 5A of the Local Government Act 1972 to hold any part of the meeting in private, the reasons and process should be made clear in this notification. This notification should also be published on the local authority's website.
66. After the relevant person has appeared before the overview and scrutiny committee, the committee must make a report or recommendations to the authority (under its existing powers) and send a copy of that report or recommendations to the petition organiser. If appropriate, the report should also be published on the authority's website.

Chapter 4

Petition reviews

Key principle:

That local people know that their views have been listened to.

Key outcome:

Petitioners will be able to appeal to the council's overview and scrutiny committee if they feel the response from their council is not adequate.

67. Section 17 of the 2009 Act is essentially an appeal provision. If a petition organiser is not satisfied with the way an authority has dealt with a petition, this section gives the organiser the power to ask an overview and scrutiny committee to review that authority's response to the petition. The overview and scrutiny committee will decide whether *the steps taken by the authority in response to the petition were adequate*. One of the steps that an authority might take in response to a petition is to refer it to the overview and scrutiny committee for consideration. Should the petition organiser subsequently be dissatisfied with the authority's response to the petition, and request a review by the overview and scrutiny committee, that committee might feel that this could give rise to a conflict of interest. Should this be the case, authorities might wish to arrange for a differently constituted overview and scrutiny committee to carry out any such review. If this is not practicable the committee can still appropriately review whether the authority's response to the committee's recommendations was adequate. Considering petition appeals can help raise public awareness of the important role of overview and scrutiny.
68. The overview and scrutiny committee will bear in mind the list of potential steps which could be used to respond to the petition, listed in Section 14(6) of the 2009 Act. An adequate response is likely to be proportionate to the issue set out in the petition and the level of support the petition has received. High quality responses which take people's concerns seriously should lower the volume of appeals.
69. There may be petition organisers who appeal because the action their petition calls for is rejected, no matter how thorough the council's process for coming to that decision. Reviewing appeals of this sort should not be onerous providing the principal local authority keeps records of how they have decided to respond to each petition.

70. If the committee has reason to be concerned about the adequacy of the authority's response it may decide to carry out a full review of the issues raised in the petition using their powers under the Local Government Act 2000.

Triggering a meeting of the full council

71. If the overview and scrutiny committee is very concerned – for instance if the committee thinks that the authority is seriously neglecting its responsibility to listen to local people – under Section 21(3)(b) of the Local Government Act 2000¹² the committee can arrange for the full council to carry out the review function. That is to say the overview and scrutiny committee can arrange for the authority's response to the petition to be discussed at a meeting of the full council.

Publicising the outcome of the review

72. Under Section 17(4)(b) of the 2009 Act the principal local authority must inform the petition organiser of the results of the review and Section 17(4)(c) requires that the results are also generally published on the authority's website. Local people will therefore be able to see how many petition organisers appeal against their council's response to petitions compared to other councils – and read the response to the appeals. People will therefore be able to judge for themselves how seriously their council is taking community petitions.

¹² Reg 6(3) (b) of the Local Authorities (Alternative Arrangements) (England) Regulations (SI 2001/1299) for those authorities operating alternative arrangements.

Annex A

Model scheme

In order to demonstrate how the framework set out in the 2009 Act can translate in practice we have created a model scheme which local authorities can choose to adopt or adapt. The example below is based on an authority with a population of 150,000 and is the public facing information about their petition scheme published on their website. The model authority has chosen to respond to all the petitions it receives. Petitions which contain 1500 signatures will be debated by the full council and petitions which call for evidence from a senior officer, and have 750 signatures, will trigger that response. The model authority operates executive arrangements and has responsibility for education and housing functions – authorities which do not have responsibility for such functions may wish to modify some of the illustrative examples given. The model authority holds a full council meeting on a 6 weekly basis. [‘link’ indicates where the council’s webpage includes a link to related information]

Petitions

The council welcomes petitions and recognises that petitions are one way in which people can let us know their concerns. All petitions sent or presented to the council will receive an acknowledgement from the council within 10 working days of receipt. This acknowledgement will set out what we plan to do with the petition. We will treat something as a petition if it is identified as being a petition, or if it seems to us that it is intended to be a petition.

Paper petitions can be sent to:

[insert address]

Or be created, signed and submitted online by following this link [link]

Petitions can also be presented to a meeting of the council. These meetings take place on a 6 weekly basis, dates and times can be found here [link]. If you would like to present your petition to the council, or would like your councillor or someone else to present it on your behalf, please contact [insert name] on [insert phone number] at least 10 working days before the meeting and they will talk you through the process. If your petition has received 1500 signatures or more it will also be scheduled for a council debate [link to section on Full Council Debates] and if this is the case we will let you know whether this will happen at the same meeting or a later meeting of the council.

What are the guidelines for submitting a petition?

Petitions submitted to the council must include:

- a clear and concise statement covering the subject of the petition. It should state what action the petitioners wish the council to take
- the name and address and signature of any person supporting the petition.

Petitions should be accompanied by contact details, including an address, for the petition organiser. This is the person we will contact to explain how we will respond to the petition. The contact details of the petition organiser will not be placed on the website. If the petition does not identify a petition organiser, we will contact signatories to the petition to agree who should act as the petition organiser.

Petitions which are considered to be vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate will not be accepted. In the period immediately before an election or referendum we may need to deal with your petition differently – if this is the case we will explain the reasons and discuss the revised timescale which will apply. If a petition does not follow the guidelines set out above, the council may decide not to do anything further with it. In that case, we will write to you to explain the reasons.

What will the council do when it receives my petition?

An acknowledgement will be sent to the petition organiser within 10 working days of receiving the petition. It will let them know what we plan to do with the petition and when they can expect to hear from us again. It will also be published on our website.

If we can do what your petition asks for, the acknowledgement may confirm that we have taken the action requested and the petition will be closed. If the petition has enough signatures to trigger a council debate, or a senior officer giving evidence, then the acknowledgment will confirm this and tell you when and where the meeting will take place. If the petition needs more investigation, we will tell you the steps we plan to take.

If the petition applies to a planning or licensing application, is a statutory petition (for example requesting a referendum on having an elected mayor), or on a matter where there is already an existing right of appeal, such as council tax banding and non-domestic rates, other procedures apply. Further information on all these procedures and how you can express your views is available here [insert links]

We will not take action on any petition which we consider to be vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate and will explain the reasons for this in our acknowledgement of the petition.

To ensure that people know what we are doing in response to the petitions we receive the details of all the petitions submitted to us will be published on our website, except in cases where this would be inappropriate. Whenever possible we will also publish all correspondence relating to the petition (all personal details will be removed). When you sign an e-petition you can elect to receive this information by email. We will not send you anything which is not relevant to the e-petition you have signed, unless you choose to receive other emails from us. [[link to account settings](#)]

How will the council respond to petitions?

Our response to a petition will depend on what a petition asks for and how many people have signed it, but may include one or more of the following:

- taking the action requested in the petition
- considering the petition at a council meeting
- holding an inquiry into the matter
- undertaking research into the matter
- holding a public meeting
- holding a consultation
- holding a meeting with petitioners
- referring the petition for consideration by the council's overview and scrutiny committee*
- calling a referendum
- writing to the petition organiser setting out our views about the request in the petition

*Overview and scrutiny committees are committees of councillors who are responsible for scrutinising the work of the council – in other words, the overview and scrutiny committee has the power to hold the council's decision makers to account.

In addition to these steps, the council will consider all the specific actions it can potentially take on the issues highlighted in a petition. The table below gives some examples.

Petition subject	Appropriate steps
Alcohol related crime and disorder	<p>If your petition is about crime or disorder linked to alcohol consumption, the council will, among other measures, consider the case for placing restrictions on public drinking in the area by establishing a designated public place order or, as a last resort, imposing an alcohol disorder zone. When an alcohol disorder zone is established the licensed premises in the area where alcohol related trouble is being caused are required to contribute to the costs of extra policing in that area. The council’s response to your petition will set out the steps we intend to take and the reasons for taking this approach.</p>
Anti-social behaviour (ASB)	<p>As the elected representatives of your local area, as social landlord and licensing authority, the council plays a significant role to play in tackling anti-social behaviour. The council, in conjunction with our partners in the local crime and disorder partnership have set out minimum service standards for responding to issues of anti-social behaviour, you can find more details about these standards here [insert link].</p> <p>When responding to petitions on ASB, we will consider in consultation with our local partners, all the options available to us including the wide range of powers and mechanisms we have to intervene as part of our role as social landlord and licensing authority. For example, we will work with the neighbourhood policing team in the affected area to identify what action might be taken including what role CCTV might play, consider identifying a dedicated contact within the council to liaise with the community and neighbourhood partners on issues of ASB in the area in question and, where appropriate, we will alert the crime and disorder reduction partnership and crime and disorder overview and scrutiny committee to the issues highlighted in the petition.</p>

Under-performing schools	We will consider, in consultation with local partners, all the options available to us when working with schools to secure their improvement. For example, on our behalf, the school improvement partner will play a pivotal role, challenging and brokering support for poorly performing schools. Where a school is under performing we will consider whether it is appropriate in the circumstances to issue a warning notice outlining expectations and a timeframe for the school to improve its performance standards. Other measures available to us, where schools fail to comply with a warning notice or are in an Ofsted category of notice to improve (requiring significant improvement) or special measures including; appointing additional governors, establishing an interim executive board, removal of the school's delegated budgets, requiring the school to enter into a formal contract or partnership or, only if the school is in special measures, closure.
Under-performing health services	We will work with local health partners to consider the matter raised in the petition including, where appropriate, exploring what role the Local Involvement Network (LINK) might have in reviewing and feeding back on the issue (the LINK is run by local individuals and community groups and independently supported – their role to find out what people want in terms of local health services, monitor those services and to use their powers to hold them to account). The health overview and scrutiny committee will also be alerted to the petition and where the matter is sufficiently or potentially serious, the issue will be referred to them to consider for review.

If your petition is about something over which the council has no direct control (for example the local railway or hospital) we will consider making representations on behalf of the community to the relevant body. The council works with a large number of local partners [link to list of LAA partners] and where possible will work with these partners to respond to your petition. If we are not able to do this for any reason (for example if what the petition calls for conflicts with council policy), then we will set out the reasons for this to you. You can find more information on the services for which the council is responsible here [link].

If your petition is about something that a different council is responsible for we will give consideration to what the best method is for responding to it. This might consist of simply forwarding the petition to the other council, but could involve other steps. In any event we will always notify you of the action we have taken.

Full council debates

If a petition contains more than 1,500 signatures it will be debated by the full council unless it is a petition asking for a senior council officer to give evidence at a public meeting. This means that the issue raised in the petition will be discussed at a meeting which all councillors can attend. The council will endeavour to consider the petition at its next meeting, although on some occasions this may not be possible and consideration will then take place at the following meeting. The petition organiser will be given five minutes to present the petition at the meeting and the petition will then be discussed by councillors for a maximum of 15 minutes. The council will decide how to respond to the petition at this meeting. They may decide to take the action the petition requests, not to take the action requested for reasons put forward in the debate, or to commission further investigation into the matter, for example by a relevant committee. Where the issue is one on which the council executive are required to make the final decision, the council will decide whether to make recommendations to inform that decision. The petition organiser will receive written confirmation of this decision. This confirmation will also be published on our website.

Officer evidence

Your petition may ask for a senior council officer to give evidence at a public meeting about something for which the officer is responsible as part of their job. For example, your petition may ask a senior council officer to explain progress on an issue, or to explain the advice given to elected members to enable them to make a particular decision.

If your petition contains at least 750 signatures, the relevant senior officer will give evidence at a public meeting of the council's overview and scrutiny committee. A list of the senior staff that can be called to give evidence can be found here [insert link]. You should be aware that the overview and scrutiny committee may decide that it would be more appropriate for another officer to give evidence instead of any officer named in the petition – for instance if the named officer has changed jobs. The committee may also decide to call the relevant councillor to attend the meeting. Committee members will ask the questions at this meeting, but you will be able to suggest questions to the chair of the committee by contacting [insert details] up to three working days before the meeting.

E-petitions

The council welcomes e-petitions which are created and submitted through our website [link]. E-petitions must follow the same guidelines as paper petitions [link to guidelines]. The petition organiser will need to provide us with their name, postal address and email address. You will also need to decide how long you would like your petition to be open for signatures. Most petitions run for six months, but you can choose a shorter or longer timeframe, up to a maximum of 12 months.

When you create an e-petition, it may take five working days before it is published online. This is because we have to check that the content of your petition is suitable before it is made available for signature.

If we feel we cannot publish your petition for some reason, we will contact you within this time to explain. You will be able to change and resubmit your petition if you wish. If you do not do this within 10 working days, a summary of the petition and the reason why it has not been accepted will be published under the 'rejected petitions' section of the website.

When an e-petition has closed for signature, it will automatically be submitted to [insert details]. In the same way as a paper petition, you will receive an acknowledgement within 10 working working days. If you would like to present your e-petition to a meeting of the council, please contact [insert details] within 10 working days of receipt of the acknowledgement.

A petition acknowledgement and response will be emailed to everyone who has signed the e-petition and elected to receive this information. The acknowledgment and response will also be published on this website.

How do I 'sign' an e-petition?

You can see all the e-petitions currently available for signature here [insert link].

When you sign an e-petition you will be asked to provide your name, your postcode and a valid email address. When you have submitted this information you will be sent an email to the email address you have provided. This email will include a link which you must click on in order to confirm the email address is valid. Once this step is complete your 'signature' will be added to the petition. People visiting the e-petition will be able to see your name in the list of those who have signed it but your contact details will not be visible.

What can I do if I feel my petition has not been dealt with properly?

If you feel that we have not dealt with your petition properly, the petition organiser has the right to request that the council's overview and scrutiny committee review the steps that the council has taken in response to your petition. It is helpful to everyone, and can improve the prospects for a review if the petition organiser gives a short explanation of the reasons why the council's response is not considered to be adequate.

The committee will endeavour to consider your request at its next meeting, although on some occasions this may not be possible and consideration will take place at the following meeting. Should the committee determine we have not dealt with your petition

adequately, it may use any of its powers to deal with the matter. These powers include instigating an investigation, making recommendations to the council executive and arranging for the matter to be considered at a meeting of the full council.

Once the appeal has been considered the petition organiser will be informed of the results within 5 working days. The results of the review will also be published on our website.

ISBN: 978-1-4098-2407-7

ISBN 978-1 4098 2407 7



9 781409 824077